



Flexible Packaging Association: LCA of Three Types of Flexible Packaging

Prepared for the Flexible Packaging Association by EarthShift LLC:

Harnoor Dhaliwal
Laurel McEwen
Melissa Moore Hamilton
Lise Laurin
Shelly Martin

1/4/2013

Note: This document contains Flexible Packaging Association Confidential and Proprietary information and is subject to the terms and conditions of NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENTS that have been negotiated with EarthShift LLC.

Contents

Executive Summary.....	2
1 Introduction	12
1.1 Introduction to LCA.....	13
2 Goal and Scope	14
2.1 Objectives.....	15
2.2 Functional unit	15
2.3 System boundaries	15
2.3.1 Corn Chip Bag System Boundaries	16
2.3.2 Flexible Juice Pouch System Boundaries	16
2.3.3 Shrink Wrap Film System Boundaries.....	17
2.3.4 Cut-off Criteria.....	17
2.3.5 Excluded Unit Processes	17
2.3.6 Geographic Boundaries	17
2.4 Allocation.....	18
2.5 Impact Assessment Method	18
2.6 Data Quality.....	19
2.6.1 Treatment of missing data.....	20
2.7 Calculation Tool.....	20
2.7.1 Limitations of LCA methodology	20
3 Life Cycle Inventory (LCI)	21
3.1 Corn Chip Bag LCI	21
3.2 Flexible Juice Pouch LCI	22
3.3 Shrink Wrap Film LCI.....	23
3.4 Transportation LCI and Assumptions.....	23
3.4.1 Raw Material and Film Transportation	23
3.4.2 EOL Transportation	24
3.5 End of Life LCI	25
3.5.1 Landfill LCI.....	25
3.5.2 Waste to Energy (WTE) LCI.....	25
3.5.3 Pyrolysis (Agilyx Process) LCI	26
4 Life Cycle Impact Assessment Results	26
4.1 Comparison of End of Life Alternatives for Each Flexible Package	26
4.2 Contribution Analysis of Each Flexible Package	27

- This document contains Flexible Packaging Association Confidential and Proprietary information -

4.2.1	Corn Chip Bag Contribution Analysis.....	28
4.2.2	Juice Pouch Contribution Analysis.....	31
4.2.3	Shrink Wrap Contribution Analysis	31
5	Interpretation.....	32
5.1	Key findings	32
5.1.1	EOL Comparative Analyses	32
5.1.2	Cradle-to-Gate Contribution Analyses.....	32
5.2	Evaluation.....	33
5.2.1	Completeness Check.....	33
5.2.2	Data Quality Analysis and Uncertainty Analysis	33
5.2.3	Sensitivity Analysis	33
5.2.4	Consistency Check.....	33
6	Conclusions and recommendations	33
7	References.....	35
8	Appendix A: Definitions/ Terminology	37
10	Appendix B: Contribution Analyses for Juice Pouch and Shrink Wrap	39
10.1	Juice pouch Contribution Analyses	39
10.1.1	Juice pouch with landfilling.....	39
10.1.2	Juice pouch with WTE	40
10.1.3	Juice Pouch with Pyrolysis	41
10.2	Shrink wrap Contribution Analyses.....	42
10.2.1	Shrink wrap with landfilling	42
10.2.2	Shrink wrap with WTE.....	43
10.2.3	Shrink wrap with Pyrolysis.....	44
11	Appendix C: Sensitivity Analyses.....	46
11.1	Sensitivity to Landfilling.....	46
11.1.1	Sensitivity of landfilling to landfill gas (LFG) capture.....	46
11.1.2	Sensitivity of the EOL comparison to landfill with LFG capture.....	48
11.2	Sensitivity to WTE	49
11.2.1	Sensitivity of WTE to heat recovery	49
11.2.2	Sensitivity of the EOL comparison to WTE heat recovery	50
11.2.3	Sensitivity of WTE to electricity production efficiency.....	52
11.2.4	Sensitivity of the EOL comparison to WTE electricity production efficiency	53
11.3	Sensitivity to Pyrolysis.....	54

- This document contains Flexible Packaging Association Confidential and Proprietary information -

11.3.1	Sensitivity of pyrolysis to utilization of non condensable gases	55
11.3.2	Sensitivity of the EOL comparison to use of non condensable gases in pyrolysis.....	56
11.3.3	Sensitivity of pyrolysis to use of char	57
11.3.4	Sensitivity of the EOL comparison to use of pyrolysis char	58
11.4	Sensitivity to Transportation	59
11.4.1	Sensitivity of the Landfill EOL Transport Distances	59
11.4.2	Sensitivity of the EOL comparisons to Landfill Transport distances.....	60
11.4.3	Sensitivity of the WTE EOL Transport Distances	61
11.4.4	Sensitivity of the EOL comparisons to WTE Transport distances	62
11.4.5	Sensitivity of the Pyrolysis EOL Transport Distances.....	63
11.4.6	Sensitivity of the EOL comparisons to Pyrolysis Transport distances	64
11.4.7	Sensitivity of the cradle-to-grave contribution analysis to EOL transport distances.....	65
11.4.8	Sensitivity of the cradle-to-grave contribution analysis to material and film related transport distances	67
11.5	Sensitivity to Impact Assessment Method	68
11.5.1	Sensitivity of EOL results to ReCiPe Midpoint (H)	69
11.5.2	Sensitivity of EOL results to ReCiPe Endpoint (H).....	70
12	Appendix D: Data Quality and Uncertainty	71
12.1	Data Quality Analysis	71
12.2	Uncertainty analysis of comparison.....	72

- This document contains Flexible Packaging Association Confidential and Proprietary information -

List of Figures

Figure 1: LCA framework (ISO 14040)	13
Figure 2: System boundaries for LCA of corn chip bag (processes outside the system boundaries are not included in the study).....	16
Figure 3: System boundaries for LCA of juice pouch (processes outside the system boundaries are not included in the study).....	16
Figure 4: System boundaries for LCA of shrink wrap film (processes outside the system boundaries are not included in the study).....	17
Figure 5: Comparison of the corn chip bag with landfilling, pyrolysis, and WTE. Characterized using the GPP Method.	26
Figure 6: Contribution analysis of the corn chip bag, cradle-to-grave, with landfilling. Characterized using the GPP Method.	28
Figure 7: Contribution analysis of the Corn Chip Bag, cradle-to-grave, with WTE. Characterized using the GPP Method.	29
Figure 8: Contribution analysis of the Corn Chip Bag, cradle-to-grave, with pyrolysis. Characterized using the GPP Method.	30
Figure 9: Contribution analysis of the Juice Pouch, cradle-to-grave, with landfilling. Characterized using the GPP Method.	39
Figure 10: Contribution analysis of the Juice Pouch, cradle-to-grave, with WTE. Characterized using the GPP Method.	40
Figure 11: Contribution analysis of the Juice Pouch, cradle-to-grave, with pyrolysis. Characterized using the GPP Method.	41
Figure 12: Contribution analysis of the Shrink Wrap, cradle-to-grave, with landfilling. Characterized using the GPP Method.	42
Figure 13: Contribution analysis of the Shrink Wrap, cradle-to-grave, with WTE. Characterized using the GPP Method.	43
Figure 14: Contribution analysis of the Shrink Wrap, cradle-to-grave, with pyrolysis. Characterized using the GPP Method.	44
Figure 15: Sensitivity to Landfilling to LFG (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	47
Figure 16: Sensitivity to Landfilling to LFG (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	48
Figure 17: Sensitivity of WTE to Heat Recovery (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	50
Figure 18: Sensitivity of EOL Comparison to WTE Heat Recovery (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	51
Figure 19: Sensitivity of WTE to Electricity Production Efficiency (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	52
Figure 20: Sensitivity of EOL Comparison to WTE to Electricity Production Efficiency (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	53
Figure 21: Sensitivity of pyrolysis to utilization of non condensable gases (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	55
Figure 22: Sensitivity of the EOL comparison to use of non condensable gases in pyrolysis (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	56
Figure 23: Sensitivity of Pyrolysis to Use of Pyrolysis Char (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	57
Figure 24: Sensitivity of EOL Comparison to Utilization of Pyrolysis Char (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	58

- This document contains Flexible Packaging Association Confidential and Proprietary information -

Figure 25: Sensitivity of Landfill EOL Transport Distance (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	60
Figure 26: Sensitivity of the EOL Comparison to the landfill EOL transport distances (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	61
Figure 27: Sensitivity of WTE EOL Transport Distance (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method. .	62
Figure 28: Sensitivity of the EOL Comparison to the WTE EOL transport distances (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	63
Figure 29: Sensitivity of Pyrolysis EOL Transport Distance (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	64
Figure 30: Sensitivity of the EOL Comparison to the pyrolysis EOL transport distances (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	65
Figure 31: Sensitivity of the cradle-to-grave contribution analysis (corn chip bag) to landfill EOL transport distances. Characterized using the GPP Method.....	66
Figure 32: Sensitivity of the cradle-to-grave contribution analysis (corn chip bag) with landfilling to raw material & film transport distances. Characterized using the GPP Method.....	67
Figure 33: Sensitivity to IAM using ReCiPe (H) midpoint (Corn chip bag).	69
Figure 34: Sensitivity to IAM using ReCiPe (H) endpoint (Corn chip bag).	70
Figure 35: Uncertainty analysis of landfilled corn chip bag (A) and pyrolysed corn chip bag (B) at 95% confidence interval. Characterized using the GPP Method.	73
Figure 36: Uncertainty analysis of landfilled corn chip bag (A) and WTE corn chip bag (B) at 95% confidence interval. Characterized using the GPP Method.	73
Figure 37: Uncertainty analysis of Pyrolysed corn chip bag (A) and WTE corn chip bag (B) at 95% confidence interval. Characterized using the GPP Method.	74
Figure 38: Uncertainty analysis of the corn chip bag, landfilled, pyrolysed, and WtE. Characterized using the GPP Method.	75
Figure 39: Uncertainty analysis of the juice pouch, landfilled, pyrolysed, and WtE. Characterized using the GPP Method.	75
Figure 40: Uncertainty analysis of the shrink film, landfilled, pyrolysed, and WtE. Characterized using the GPP Method.	76

List of Tables

Table 1: Selected impact categories recommended by the GPP.....	18
Table 2: Corn Chip Bag Bill of Materials and Life Cycle Inventory	21
Table 3: Flexible Juice Pouch Bill of Materials and Life Cycle Inventory.....	22
Table 4: Shrink Wrap Film Bill of Materials and Life Cycle Inventory	23
Table 5: Raw Material and Film Transportation LCI	23
Table 6: End of Life Transportation LCI	24
Table 7: Landfill Process LCI	25
Table 8: Incineration Process LCI.....	25
Table 9: Pyrolysis Process LCI.....	26
Table 10: Comparison of the corn chip bag with landfilling, pyrolysis, and WTE. Characterized using the GPP Method.	27
Table 11: Contribution analysis of the Corn Chip Bag, cradle-to-grave, with landfilling. Characterized using the GPP Method.	28
Table 12: Contribution analysis of the Corn Chip Bag, cradle-to-grave, with WTE. Characterized using the GPP Method.	29
Table 13: Contribution analysis of the Corn Chip Bag, cradle-to-grave, with pyrolysis. Characterized using the GPP Method.	31
Table 14: Contribution analysis of the Juice Pouch, cradle-to-grave, with landfilling. Characterized using the GPP Method.	39
Table 15: Contribution analysis of the Juice Pouch, cradle-to-grave, with WTE. Characterized using the GPP Method.	40
Table 16: Contribution analysis of the Juice Pouch, cradle-to-grave, with pyrolysis. Characterized using the GPP Method.	41
Table 17: Contribution analysis of the Shrink Wrap, cradle-to-grave, with landfilling. Characterized using the GPP Method.	42
Table 18: Contribution analysis of the Shrink Wrap, cradle-to-grave, with WTE. Characterized using the GPP Method.	43
Table 19: Contribution analysis of the Shrink Wrap, cradle-to-grave, with pyrolysis. Characterized using the GPP Method.	44
Table 20: Sensitivity to Landfilling to LFG (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.....	47
Table 21: Sensitivity to Landfilling to LFG (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.....	48
Table 22: Sensitivity of WTE to Heat Recovery (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.....	50
Table 23: Sensitivity of EOL Comparison to WTE Heat Recovery (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	51
Table 24: Sensitivity of WTE to Electricity Production Efficiency (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	52
Table 25: Sensitivity of EOL Comparison to WTE to Electricity Production Efficiency (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	53
Table 26: Sensitivity of pyrolysis to utilization of non condensable gases (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.....	55
Table 27: Sensitivity of the EOL comparison to use of non condensable gases in pyrolysis (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	56
Table 28: Sensitivity of Pyrolysis to Use of Pyrolysis Char (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	57

- This document contains Flexible Packaging Association Confidential and Proprietary information -

Table 29: Sensitivity of EOL Comparison to Utilization of Pyrolysis Char (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	58
Table 30: Default Analysis Transportation Distances	59
Table 31: Sensitivity of Landfill EOL Transport Distance (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	60
Table 32: Sensitivity of the EOL Comparison to the landfill EOL transport distances (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	61
Table 33: Sensitivity of WTE EOL Transport Distance (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method. ..	62
Table 34: Sensitivity of the EOL Comparison to the WTE EOL transport distances (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	63
Table 35: Sensitivity of Pyrolysis EOL Transport Distance (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	64
Table 36: Sensitivity of the EOL Comparison to the pyrolysis EOL transport distances (Corn chip bag). Characterized using the GPP Method.	65
Table 37: Sensitivity of the cradle-to-grave contribution analysis (corn chip bag) to landfill EOL transport distances. Characterized using the GPP Method.....	66
Table 38: ReCiPe (H) Impact Assessment Method	68
Table 39: Sensitivity to IAM using ReCiPe (H) midpoint (Corn chip bag).	69
Table 40: Sensitivity to IAM using ReCiPe (H) endpoint (Corn chip bag).	70
Table 42: Pedigree matrix	71

- This document contains Flexible Packaging Association Confidential and Proprietary information -